1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * * *
9 10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, ORDER
12	vs.) REBECCA ARLENE LANDIS,)
13	Defendant.
14)
15	Before the court is defendant, Rebecca Arlene Landis' Motion for Early Termination of
16	Probation/Supervised Release (#99¹). The Government has opposed (#103) and the Probation
17	Office has also stated its opposition.
18	This is a troublesome case because of the aggravated nature of the offense, Possession
19	with Intent to Distribute a Controlled Substance (methamphetamine), a very serious offense
20	which carried a maximum prison sentence of up to twenty years in prison.
21	The underlying offense involved possession of a sizeable quantity of methamphetamine
22	which was possessed by defendant and her husband on the Walker River Indian Reservation for
23	purposes of sale. Both the defendant and her husband appeared to be under the influence of
24	methamphetamine at the time of their arrest and defendant's personal history indicated prior
25	felony convictions for burglary, possession of a Schedule Two controlled substance (cocaine),
26	and felony hit and run while driving under the influence of intoxicants. Although Mrs. Landis'
27	prior convictions were all dated in the early nineteen nineties, they are consistent with a history
28	of substance abuse throughout her adult life.
	Refers to the court's docketing number.

Case 3:11-cr-00017-LRH-VPC Document 110 Filed 02/09/15 Page 1 of 2

Case 3:11-cr-00017-LRH-VPC Document 110 Filed 02/09/15 Page 2 of 2

1	Defendant was sentenced by the court on December 19, 2011, and was given the benefit
2	of probation notwithstanding a recommendation for a prison sentence in her case due to the
3	aggravated nature of the offense and the Sentencing Guidelines applicable to her. This judge is an
4	experienced District Court judge and has sentenced many defendants upon convictions of the
5	felony offense of Possession with Intent to Distribute a Controlled Substance, most often
6	methamphetamine. The court can recall no other case for such a conviction in which probation
7	was granted to the defendant.
8	In defendant's case, she was given probation principally because of the family needs of
9	her children, in particular a fifteen year old son who suffers from a serious disorder.
10	Although it appears that Mrs. Landis has been compliant with the conditions of her
11	probation, it also appears that she is currently unemployed, struggling to make ends meet, and the
12	instability of her future and employability are not clearly resolved. The Probation Office notes
13	that these risks create a possible risk to Mrs. Landis' own health and well-being, as well as that
14	of the community, if she were to fall back upon drug use or selling drugs.
15	Given the recommendations of the United States Attorney's Office, the Probation Office,
16	and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,
17	Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Probation/ Supervised Release (#99) shall
18	be denied. However, if Mrs. Landis' personal situation has improved markedly, and she has
19	remained compliant with all conditions of her probation, the court would consider another
20	application for early termination from probation in 2016.
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Probation/
22	Supervised Release (#99) is DENIED.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	DATED this 9th day of February, 2015.
25	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	OMILD STATES DISTRICT JUDGE